

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/626,989	07/25/2003	Chris A. Barone	6579-125	4156	
49698 MICHAUD-D	7590 02/20/2007 UFFY GROUP LLP		EXAMINER		
306 INDUSTRIAL PARK ROAD			HUSON, MONICA ANNE		
	SUITE 206 MIDDLETOWN, CT 06457		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1732		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/626,989	BARONE ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Monica A. Huson	1732		

	Monica A. Huson	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nor a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the contraction of t	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in between the content of the content	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a conceling a concelling a concelling a concelling a concelling and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12			DTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (P10L-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	before or on the date of filing a No sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant contends that Yin does not suggest the claimed process because he does not show a soap material with a composition saponified or neutralized from a mineral or vegetable oil or an animal fat and which may include a synthetic detergent. Further, applicant asserts that his soap material requires a moisture content of between about 4% and about 15% or more to maintain its integrity as a waxy solid body. These arguments are not persuasive because the claim does not require such a soap composition. Limitations contained only in the specification cannot be read into the claims containing broader terms. It is maintained that Yin shows a synthetic soap material. In view of the current claim construction, it is believed that a synthetic surfactant or detergent can be considered to meet the "soap" limitation in the claim..

Monica A Huson February 13, 2007

Monces & Groon

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

2